

**Masaryk University**

**Faculty of Arts**

Pedagogy

Mgr. Gabriela Pleschová, MSc., PhD.

**The Long-Term Effects of Educational Development  
Programmes.**

**Collaboration, Trust, and Leadership**

**Habilitation thesis**

**2024**

I declare that I prepared the habilitation thesis independently using the mentioned sources and literature.

.....

Signature of the author of the thesis

**Dedication**

To the memory of Joanna Renc Roe, a strong advocate for student-centred learning, reflective teaching and the scholarship of teaching and learning.

## Acknowledgements



Research for this work has been co-funded by the European Commission through the Erasmus+ Cooperation partnerships project BELONG: **B**etter **E**ducation through **L**ong-term Investment into Inclusiveness and Student and Staff Wellbeing, 2021-1-SK01-KA220-HED-000023528:

[www.fphil.uniba.sk/belong](http://www.fphil.uniba.sk/belong)

Data collection for this work was conducted by Matthew Mokhefi-Ashton, Agnes Simon, Mátyás Szabó, and Zuzana Vařejková. Data coding was performed by Lucia Hlavatá, Natalia Marakhovska, Paulo Nascimento Sousa, and Agnes Simon. Lynn McAlpine contributed substantially to the research design, along with Agnes Simon, Eszter Simon, Mátyás Szabó, Jitka Vidláková, and other collaborators of the BELONG project.

Eszter Simon managed this study's ethics approval process at Nottingham Trent University. John Peter Butler Barrer and Linda M. Steyne kindly reviewed my translations of interview quotes from Masaryk University programme graduates. Mikaela Auerbach from the University of California, Berkeley, provided assistance with English language proofreading, the funding for which was covered by the Faculty of Arts, Comenius University Bratislava.

The following colleagues reviewed and offered feedback on draft chapters: Nicholas Bremner, Huang Hoon Chng, Helga Dorner, Alexis Hart, Rachel Forsyth, Miriam Glessmer, Katarina Mårtensson, Lynn McAlpine, Anne Nevgi, Kathleen M. Quinlan, Marvi Remmik, Anna Serbati, Eszter Simon, Julie Timmermans, and Vanessa Woods. Any remaining errors or misinterpretations are my sole responsibility.

I extend special thanks to all the interviewed programme graduates and their colleagues for generously sharing their insights with the research team.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>SECTION I. EDUCATIONAL DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMMES AND THE CHANGE THEY ARE HOPED TO FOSTER</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>Chapter 1. Student-centred learning and reflective teaching</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>Student-centred learning</b>	<b>13</b>
<i>The definition and emergence of SCL as a concept</i>	<i>13</i>
<i>Relevance of SCL</i>	<i>14</i>
<i>Evaluation of SCL in this research</i>	<i>15</i>
<i>Helping teachers teach in a student-centred way</i>	<i>17</i>
<b>Reflective teaching</b>	<b>18</b>
<i>The definition and emergence of reflective teaching as a concept</i>	<i>18</i>
<i>Relevance of reflective teaching and the role of context in impacting reflection</i>	<i>18</i>
<i>Ways to stimulate and assess reflective teaching</i>	<i>19</i>
<i>Evaluation of reflection in this research</i>	<i>20</i>
<b>References</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>Chapter 2. Design of research on the long-term impact of four educational development programmes</b>	<b>26</b>
<b>Research sites and collaborators</b>	<b>26</b>
<b>Collected data</b>	<b>26</b>
<b>Sampling</b>	<b>27</b>
<b>Approaches to interviewing</b>	<b>28</b>
<b>Interview duration</b>	<b>29</b>
<b>Research ethics</b>	<b>29</b>
<b>Data coding and analysis</b>	<b>29</b>
<b>Perspectives taken by the research team</b>	<b>32</b>
<b>Limitations of this research</b>	<b>33</b>
<b>References</b>	<b>33</b>
<b>SECTION II. FOUR CASE STUDIES</b>	<b>35</b>
<b>Chapter 3. A university committed to transforming how students learn in the region: Central European University</b>	<b>35</b>
<b>CEU's mission</b>	<b>35</b>
<b>Institutional goals related to education and support of student-centredness</b>	<b>35</b>
<b>The educational development programme</b>	<b>36</b>
<b>Programme definitions of student-centredness and reflectiveness in teaching</b>	<b>37</b>
<b>Sampling strategy and sample characteristics</b>	<b>37</b>

<b>References</b>	<b>37</b>
<b>Chapter 4. A fast-growing, ambitious university: Masaryk University</b>	<b>39</b>
<b>MUNI's mission</b>	<b>39</b>
<b>Institutional goals related to education and support of student-centredness</b>	<b>39</b>
<b>The educational development programme</b>	<b>39</b>
<b>Programme definitions of student-centredness and reflectiveness in teaching</b>	<b>40</b>
<b>Sampling strategy and sample characteristics</b>	<b>40</b>
<b>References</b>	<b>41</b>
<b>Chapter 5. An institution that trains both scholars and teachers: The Slovak Academy of Sciences</b>	<b>42</b>
<b>SAS mission</b>	<b>42</b>
<b>Institutional goals related to education and support of student-centredness</b>	<b>42</b>
<b>The educational development programme</b>	<b>42</b>
<b>Programme definitions of student-centredness and reflectiveness in teaching</b>	<b>43</b>
<b>Sampling strategy and sample characteristics</b>	<b>44</b>
<b>References</b>	<b>44</b>
<b>Chapter 6. A university that holds the Gold for teaching: Nottingham Trent University</b>	<b>45</b>
<b>NTU's mission</b>	<b>45</b>
<b>Institutional goals related to education and support of student-centredness</b>	<b>45</b>
<b>The educational development programme</b>	<b>45</b>
<b>Programme definitions of student-centredness and reflectiveness in teaching</b>	<b>46</b>
<b>Sampling strategy and sample characteristics</b>	<b>46</b>
<b>References</b>	<b>47</b>
<b>SECTION III. DIVERSE PATHS OF GRADUATES FROM ED PROGRAMMES</b>	<b>48</b>
<b>Chapter 7. Four paths of higher education teachers</b>	<b>48</b>
<b>The pragmatic teacher path</b>	<b>48</b>
<i>Path summary</i>	<i>48</i>
<b>Alex</b>	<b>49</b>
<i>Characteristics and teaching approach</i>	<i>49</i>
<i>Student-centredness</i>	<i>49</i>
<i>Reflective teaching</i>	<i>50</i>
<i>Use of student and colleague feedback</i>	<i>50</i>
<i>Influence from context</i>	<i>51</i>
<i>Overall characteristics</i>	<i>51</i>
<b>The enthusiastic student-centred innovator path</b>	<b>51</b>
<i>Path summary</i>	<i>51</i>
<b>Bailey</b>	<b>52</b>
<i>Characteristics and teaching approach</i>	<i>52</i>

<i>Student-centredness</i>	52
<i>Reflective teaching</i>	53
<i>Use of student and colleague feedback</i>	53
<i>Influence from context</i>	54
<i>Overall characteristics</i>	54
<b>The dedicated teacher frustrated with their institution path</b>	<b>55</b>
<i>Path summary</i>	55
<b>Cameron</b>	<b>55</b>
<i>Characteristics and teaching approach</i>	55
<i>Student-centredness</i>	55
<i>Reflective teaching</i>	56
<i>Use of student and colleague feedback</i>	57
<i>Influence from context</i>	57
<i>Overall characteristics</i>	58
<b>The converted teacher-centred scholar path</b>	<b>58</b>
<i>Path summary</i>	58
<i>Characteristic and teaching approach</i>	59
<i>Teacher-centredness</i>	59
<i>Reflective teaching</i>	60
<i>Use of student and colleague feedback</i>	60
<i>Influence from context</i>	61
<i>Overall characteristics</i>	61
<b>Evaluation of the four paths</b>	<b>61</b>
<b>References</b>	<b>62</b>
<b>Chapter 8. Student-centred and reflective teaching of ED programme graduates five and more years on</b>	<b>63</b>
<b>What literature reveals about long-term results from ED</b>	<b>64</b>
<b>Student-centred and reflective teaching of programme graduates from this research five and more years on</b>	<b>65</b>
<b>Understanding of student-centred learning</b>	<b>65</b>
<b>Reflective teaching practices</b>	<b>69</b>
<b>Contextual influences</b>	<b>71</b>
<b>Discussion</b>	<b>72</b>
<i>Student-centred learning</i>	72
<i>Reflective teaching</i>	72
<i>Contextual factors</i>	72
<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>73</b>
<b>References</b>	<b>74</b>
<b>Chapter 9. Teaching collaboration</b>	<b>76</b>

<b>What counts as teaching collaboration and what the literature reports on it</b>	<b>76</b>
<b>Method</b>	<b>79</b>
<b>Teaching collaboration of graduates from ED programmes</b>	<b>79</b>
<i>More than half of graduates engage in teaching collaboration</i>	80
<i>Graduates collaborate with different individuals and in a variety of ways</i>	80
<i>Sporadic collaboration with coaches/mentors from ED programmes</i>	81
<i>Graduates seek colleagues with similar values but learn from different styles and ideas</i>	81
<i>Unclear impact of teaching collaboration on reflectiveness</i>	82
<i>Unclear influence of teaching collaboration on major changes of thinking and practice</i>	83
<i>Teaching collaboration does not create collaborative relationships for students</i>	83
<b>Discussion</b>	<b>83</b>
<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>85</b>
<b>References</b>	<b>86</b>
<b>Chapter 10. Trusting relationships of ED programme graduates</b>	<b>89</b>
<b>Findings from the trust literature</b>	<b>89</b>
<i>Trust and teaching practice</i>	89
<i>Trust and reflectiveness</i>	90
<i>Trust and innovation of teaching</i>	90
<b>Methods</b>	<b>90</b>
<b>Trust as a potential determinant of programme graduates' teaching practice</b>	<b>91</b>
<i>Trust and teaching practice</i>	91
<i>Trust and reflectiveness</i>	93
<i>Trust and innovation of teaching</i>	93
<i>Graduates' trustworthiness as perceived by superiors and colleagues</i>	94
<b>Discussion</b>	<b>95</b>
<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>96</b>
<b>References</b>	<b>97</b>
<b>Chapter 11. ED programme graduates serving as educational leaders</b>	<b>99</b>
<b>How the literature conceptualises educational leadership</b>	<b>99</b>
<b>Methods</b>	<b>101</b>
<b>ED programme graduates as educational leaders</b>	<b>102</b>
<i>Educational leadership roles of graduates from ED programmes</i>	102
<i>Programme graduates' reflectiveness and SCL versus their formal educational leadership</i>	103
<i>Recognition of programme graduates' teaching</i>	104
<b>Discussion</b>	<b>105</b>
<b>Conclusion and recommendations</b>	<b>105</b>
<b>References</b>	<b>106</b>
<b>SECTION IV. CONCLUSIONS</b>	<b>109</b>



<b>Chapter 12. The implications of this study for educational development, institutional practice and research</b>	<b>109</b>
<b>Student-centred learning and reflective teaching</b>	<b>110</b>
<b>Contextual factors that shape ED programme graduates' teaching</b>	<b>111</b>
<i>Teaching collaboration</i>	<i>112</i>
<i>Trustful relationships</i>	<i>112</i>
<i>Educational leadership</i>	<i>112</i>
<b>The role of coaching and mentoring</b>	<b>113</b>
<b>Value attributed to the ED programme</b>	<b>114</b>
<b>Implications from this study for institutions offering educational development</b>	<b>115</b>
<i>Implications for institutional practices</i>	<i>115</i>
<i>Implications for educational development</i>	<i>116</i>
<i>Implications for future research</i>	<i>117</i>
<b>Concluding thoughts</b>	<b>118</b>
<b>References</b>	<b>119</b>
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>121</b>